.129

N137

33

2015

MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF GREATER MUMBAI

No.: CHE/1727 /DPES of 16/05 12015

Sub:- Policy guidelines for adjustment of D.P. road against Amenity Space in I to R and I to C conversion cases seeking Opinion of Advocate General in certain specific situations.

Ref:-

- 1) ChE/9093/DPES of 01/11/2014
- 2) MCP/418 Dtd. 24/11/2014
- 3) ChE/23359/DPES Dtd. 25/03/2015
- 4) MCP/3317 Dtd. 06/04/2015

Reference is requested to this office note vide no. ChE/23359/DPES Dtd. 25/03/2015 in subject matter & Hon. M.C.'s remarks thereon as "pl. discuss typology of various cases to evolve a policy".(n-35). The remarks are submitted as under,

The residential/ commercial user on lands situated in General & special Industrial Zone(I2 & I3) are granted as per provision 56-3-C & 57-4-C of DCR 1991. The provision of amenity in such I to R/C proposals were governed by policy circulars issued from time to time. The extract of the main circular issued in the past u/No. CHE/2847/DPC, dtd.04.12.1996 in respect of allowing non-industrial user in Industrial Zoned Lands by way of interpretation of 56(3)(C) and 57(4)(C) of DCR 1991 is reproduced below:

Sr. No.	Landa Artina Anno and Anno and	%age of the land to be set aside
1	For plots upto 5000 Sq.mts.	NIL
2	For plots having area between 5000 Sq.Mts. to 20000 Sq.Mts.	5%
3	For plots having area 20000 Sq.Mts. and above.	2.5% + 5% for amenity utilizes under D.C. Reg.27 + 10% of additional recreational space.

The provisions u/s 56 & 57 are amended by vide government notification under no, TPB-4304/2770/CR-312/04/UD-11 dtd 14/5/2007. Accordingly 5% Amenity Space is insisted for the net plot area up to 2 Hector, 20% Amenity Space for net plot area between 2 hector to 5 Hector & 25 % Amenity Space for net plot area above 5 Hector.

The net plot area in such cases are computed after deduction of D.P. Road & existing Road. & adjusting the area under D.P. reservation if any affecting the said plot against the required amenity space.

The Hon. High Court in W.P. 651 of 2014 has directed to adjust the area of D.P. road against such amenity space considering same at par the D.P. reservations. On basis of decision in above said writ petition and after considering legal opinions obtained from Sr. Counsels, concurring with the said

proposals are considered by the area of D.P. Road/ existing road. Six numbers of such amenity space considered by the second against required proposals are considered by adjusting D.P. Road/ existing road against required

The sub-

amenity space with concurrence of legal department.

The subject

The subject matter was discussed with the then Hon. M.C., when Hon. M.C. The type of the properties of the type.

directed to put up the proposal for policy circular in this respect.

The typology are proposal for policy circular in this remarks The typology of various cases likely to arise with brief remarks are tabulated as under:-

43 [Inder:		
Sr.	aced		
۷o.	Case of I to Die		
	OC drica		
1	Court		
	New proposals to be dealt at MCGM Level. New proposals to be dealt at MCGM Level.		
	Proposals to be dealt at MCGM Level. New proposals of I to R • As per the order of Hon. High Court, submitted by considering Mumbai in W.P. 651 of 2014, the D.P. Road Mumbai in W.P. 651 of 2014, the D.P. adjustment of D.P. Road is to be considered at par with		
	submitted by considering Mumbai in W.P. 651 of 2014, the D.P.		
	submitted by considering adjustment of D.P. Road/ Setback where curposchip receptation.		
	Setback where ownership of D.P. Road/ setback still vest with Owner ownership of D.P. Road/ setback still on the reservation area can be adjusted reservation of D.P. Road/ reservation.		
	of D.P. Road/ setback still vest with Owner/ Developer reservation. reservation. reservation. reservation area can be adjusted to be handed over against amenity space to be handed provision vide against amenity space to be handed over against a space to be ag		
	1 WILL DMUSE I SUSINGE CITY		
	vest with Owner/ Developer. • The reservation space to be handed against amenity space to be handed to MCGM as per amended provision to MCGM as per amended provision against amenity space to be handed to MCGM as per amended provision to MCGM as per amended provision at the manufacture of the manu		
2			
	Revised proposal of I to R submitted by considering for adjustment of road for adjustment of road no.TPB-4304/2/70/CR submitted by considering 14/5/2007 in 56(3)(C) & 57(4)(C).		
	for adjustment of road The Amenity Space		
	area affected by D.D. handed over to		
	Road/ Sethack where adjustment of D.P. road/ seronosed road		
	Road/ Setback where adjustment of D.P. road/ Setback and road be considered treating the proposed road.		
	with Daveloner/ owner as an amenity as po-		
	is 651 of 2014		
	and where layout is approved & Building plans		
	are not approved and/or		
	bldg plan are approved		
	bidg., plan are upproved		
	but C.C. not Granted. Cases to be referred to Attorney General, GOM for opinion. Cases to be referred to Attorney and building plans are also approved		
3	Cases to be referred to Attorney General, GOM for opinion Cases to be referred to Attorney General, GOM for opinion In cases where layout is approved and building plans are also approved In cases where layout is approved and building plans are also approved In cases where layout is approved and building plans are also approved.		
Ī	In cases where layout is approved and building plans are also approved and C.C. to one or more buildings have been granted then such cases and C.C. to one or more buildings have been granted then such cases and C.C. to one or more buildings have been granted then such cases		
•	a c to one of floor		
	and C.C. to one or more buildings have been granted their such cases and C.C. to one or more buildings have been granted their such cases and C.C. to one or more buildings have been granted their such cases and cases and cases are such as a such cases are such as a such cases are such cases.		
	CLEAN SHIPSHIP FOR A STATE OF THE STATE OF T		
	over of the amelians have now been received from such since the applications have now been received from such since the applications have now been received from such such applications have now been received from such such such applications have now been received from such such such applications have now been received from such such such applications have now been received from such such such applications have now been received from such such such applications have now been received from such such such applications have now been received from such such such applications have now been received from such such such applications have now been received from such such such such such such such such		
	owner/developer can be stopped from seeking such adjustment in terms owner/developer can be stopped.		
	owner/developer of promissory estoppels. of promissory estoppels. of promissory estoppels. Setback have been handed over to MCGM in the promissory of promissory estoppels.		
,			
2	owner/developes of promissory estoppels. of promissory estoppels. In case where D.P.Roads/ Setback have been handed over to MCGM in In case where D.P.Roads/ Setback have been transferred in P.R.Card whethe lieu of FSI benefit and land has not been transferred in P.R.Card whether lieu of adjustment can be considered		
4	lieu of PSI beadiustment can be considered		
	the claim of D. P. Road/ setback have been handed over to MCGM in lies		
	the claim of adjustment can be considered the claim of adjustment can be considered In case where D.P.Road/ setback have been handed over to MCGM in lieu In case where D.P.Road/ setback have been handed over to MCGM in lieu In case where D.P.Road/ setback have been handed over to MCGM in lieu of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has not of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has not of FSI benefit has been handed over to MCGM in lieu the claim of adjustment can be considered.		
3	In case where CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit, CC for equivalent area is already granted but land has no of FSI benefit area.		
1257	or transferred in need to be considered.		
	of FSI benefit, the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the name of MCGM in P.R.Card, then whether the been transferred in the properties of the properti		
	claim 10.		
	Solder\kalpataru I-C.doc		

4	In case where D.P.Roads/ Setback have been taken over by MCGM without any FSI or monetary benefit and the land has not been transferred in the P.R.Card in MCGM's name, then, whether the claim for adjustment can be considered. MCGM has already considered the above case and granted adjustments based on opinion of Sr. Counsel. However, the same will be also got confirmed from Advocate General.
	In case where amenity area is already handed over but PR card is not
5	transferred in name of MCGM and C.C. for the equivalent built up area has not been granted on remaining land in layout, then whether amenity area can be allowed to be reworked by adjusting the D.P. road/ Setback
	area,
-	
6	In case amenity area is already handed over but PR card is not transferred in name of MCGM and the CC for the FSI benefit of amenity area is already granted, then whether the amenity area can be allowed to be reworked based on adjustment towards D.P. road/ Setback area

In view of above Hon. M.C.'s approvals requested to

- 1. Obtain the opinion of Attorney General, Government Of Maharashtra, in respect of cases mentioned in Sr.no B of table at N-39/39
- 2. To consider the proposals as mentioned in Sr.no. 'A' of table at N- 38 In respect of adjustment/ set off of lands affected by D.P. Road or sanctioned R.L. against area of amenity space as envisaged under regulation no. 56 (3)(c) and 57 (4)(c) of DCR 199.

The comprehensive draft policy Circular will be submitted to Hon. M.C. for approval on receipt of opinion from Attorney General, Government Of Maharashtra, However the cases proposed in Sr. no. A of table will be processed in the meantime if agreed.

Submitted Please.

Gosluicion Ehondo 1615-115

EEDP) Es Chief Engineer (D.P.) &

Affrance I as proposed

Aj nells

17/5/

ChF5(DP)

Municipal Commissioner.

बृहन्मुंबई महानगरपालिका आयुक्तांचे कार्यालय

1 8 MAY 2015

E:\S ward Rohan\1 to Rhiew Folder\kalpataru I-C. loc

(ACCIFICING)

19